

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/898,936	07/03/2001	Paul DiCarlo	BSI-479US	1985	
75	590 09/07/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Christopher R. Lewis RATNER & PRESTIA			LANDREM, KAMRIN R		
	Berwyn, Suite 301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 980			3738		
Valley Forge, 1	PA 19482-0980		DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4	\				
Advisory Action	09/898,936	DICARLO, PAUL						
, <b>,</b> ,	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	Kamrin R. Landrem	3738						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess					
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So	ee MPEI	Р				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datheve been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (	ension fe 2) as se	ee under et forth in				
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			,					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	•						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	implify	ing the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amer	ndment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ received place the application in condition for allow 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be	vance because: See Continuation	Sheet.						
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or t ould be rejected is provided bel	o)⊡ will be entered a ow or appended.	and ar	ı				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: ·	·						
Claim(s) allowed:			•					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,25-27 and 35-52</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented by the applicant's representative are not persuasive. The arguments related to the functional language "adapted to" are not sufficient to overcome the prior rejection. Additionally the Examiner directs the applicant's attention to MPEP 716(C), which states: The arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. Examples of attorney statements which are not evidence and which must be supported by an appropriate affidavit or declaration include statements regarding unexpected results, commercial success, solution of a long-felt need, inoperability of the prior art, invention before the date of the reference, and allegations that the author(s) of the prior art derived the disclosed subject matter.

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700